



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/612,266	C	07/01/2003	Susan H. Matthews Brown	017242-010900US	4096
20350	7590	08/09/2004		EXAMINER	
TOWNSEN	ND AND	TOWNSEND AN	SANTOS, F	SANTOS, ROBERT G	
TWO EMBA	ARCADER	RO CENTER			2.000.000
EIGHTH FL	.OOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN FRAN	CISCO, C	A 94111-3834		3673	

DATE MAILED: 08/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(a)			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/612,266	LITTLEHORN, SHEILA			
	Julian Julian y	Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	Robert G. Santos	3673			
Period fo		appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a in the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be treply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frottute, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01	July 2003 and on 20 October 200	<u>13</u> .			
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.				
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 4	453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with declaim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.	·			
_	ion Papers					
· ·	The specification is objected to by the Exami					
10)[_]	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr		• •			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the		•			
-	•					
12)[_ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a light	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applica riority documents have been receive eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ntion No ved in this National Stage			
Attachmen	` '					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I				
3) 🔯 Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0er No(s)/Mail Date 10202003.	_	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/612,266

Art Unit: 3673

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-7, 11-13 and 16-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,279,185 to Matthews (note especially Figures 1-3, 5 & 6; column 2, lines 63-67; column 3; and column 4, lines 1-46 & 59-63).

Claims 1-5, 9-19 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,581,833 to Zenoff (Figures 1A, 1B, 2A-2C, 3A, 3B, 4A, 4B, 5A, 5B, 6A-6D, & 9B; column 8, lines 55-67; columns 9-12; column 13, lines 1-40 & 50-67; column 14; column 15, lines 1-2; and column 16, lines 21-30).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Matthews '185 in view of Pender '649. Matthews '185 does not specifically disclose a condition wherein the pillow body (15, 18, 20) comprises an inflatable bladder. Pender '649 provides the basic teaching of a support pillow (2) comprising a pillow body formed as an inflatable bladder (4). The skilled artisan would have found it obvious at the time the invention was made to provide the pillow of Matthews '185 with a pillow body comprising an inflatable bladder in order to allow the firmness of the pillow to be selectively adjusted, thereby aiding in providing enhanced user comfort.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Bartley et al. '539, Matthews Brown '116, Matthews '024, Brown et al. '908, Britto et al. '681, Skoug et al. '282, Matthews Brown et al. '977, Moe et al. '337, Moe '608, Matthews '422 and Plate '512.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert G. Santos whose telephone number is (703) 308-7469. The examiner can normally be reached on Tues-Fr and first Mondays, 10:30 a.m. to 8:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather C. Shackelford can be reached on (703) 308-2978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/612,266

Art Unit: 3673

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert G. Santos
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3673

R.S.

August 2, 2004